XLHealth launches the eighth and final program in Tennessee

Pharmacists assume high-profile role in MHS program

While all eight of the Medicare Health Support programs are targeting a similar population of beneficiaries with diabetes and/or heart failure, and each program must meet the same set of criteria established by CMS, there is nonetheless considerable variation in program content and design. This is particularly obvious in Baltimore, MD-based XLHealth’s entry to the field, the eighth and final Medicare Health Support program now underway in Tennessee.

While the program makes judicious use of home monitoring technology, it is also placing a priority on regular in-person assessments. Further, given the complexity of the medication regimens many of these chronically ill patients must endure, program designers have integrated pharmacy consultations into the mix, believing that the approach will pay off in improved adherence to guidelines, better patient compliance, and reduced medication errors. The program’s design can offer important lessons for not only MHS program planners, but for anyone applying DM strategies to a similar population.

Relationship building

The XLHealth program was launched in mid-January, beginning with outreach calls to the 20,000 beneficiaries CMS has identified for intervention. During this initial contact, nurses invite the beneficiaries to participate in the program and proceed to conduct health risk assessments with those who agree to go forward. All the participants are then directed to one of six assessment centers XLHealth has established in Tennessee to support the program. Here, they undergo a face-to-face meeting with either a local care manager or a personal support nurse, who conducts further evaluations as part of the health risk assessment process.

“We will use this time to do some coaching and to begin to build a relationship with them,” explains David Hollis, MD, XLHealth’s chief medical officer for the Tennessee program. “We think it is important to have a local community relationship with the participants in addition to any telephone coaching calls that might be done.”

Also, during this initial assessment all patients are offered a free digital retinal photography screening with the use of a digiscope, and all the diabetics exhibiting signs or symptoms of periph-
eral vascular disease are offered free diabetic shoes with orthotics to fit in them. Hollis notes that these steps were integrated into the assessment process to specifically address the high incidence of amputations and diabetic retinal disease in Tennessee. “We have already done over 500 digiscope exams, with almost 10% of those people having an urgent need to see an ophthalmologist, so we are preventing blindness,” he says. “And diabetes is the leading cause of amputations, so by aggressively managing these people we are reducing the amputations and keeping patients out of hospitals and nursing homes.”

In an effort to identify wounds at the earliest possible stage, diabetic patients are being equipped with a temperature probe and instructions for self-monitoring. “What we encourage them to do on a daily basis is to measure the temperature in five places on each foot,” notes Hollis. “What we are looking for is a temperature of about 90 degrees in any of those places, or a four degree difference between the two feet. It has been shown clearly that the breakdown of tissue that would lead to an ulcer, which could ultimately lead to an amputation, is preceded by a change of temperature in the area.”

**Self-management support**

A variety of other devices and tools are being made available, depending on patient needs. These include glucometers, BP cuffs that automatically record BP readings, and telemetry scales that automatically transmit a patient’s weight and information regarding symptoms to a monitoring center where the readings are reviewed on a daily basis.
“There are some heart failure patients who don’t need a telemetry scale, but we give them a nice scale with large numbers,” explains Hollis. “We believe all heart failure patients should weigh themselves daily, so we always make sure they have a scale in their homes with instructions to contact us if they have gained a specified amount of weight.”

Patients receive additional support through personalized reports that spell out their responsibilities for self-management and make specific recommendations for steps they can take to improve their health. **(See Figure 1.)**

To ensure that participants are able to take advantage of the program’s services, developers have gone to great lengths to identify and address any barriers that might arise. Hollis notes that in some cases, this is simply a matter of providing basic services. “There is not a lot of public transportation in Tennessee that is real convenient, even in the larger cities, and the result is that we found transportation to be a barrier to patients visiting their physicians and coming to our assessment centers,” he explains. “When we are talking to a participant, and they say they can’t make it to an assessment center because they don’t have any way, then we will step in. We will provide transportation to physician visits as well the assessment center. We are willing to do both if there is a significant need.”

**Pharmacy consultations**

One of the most unique aspects of the XLHealth program is the integration of pharmacists into each participant’s care team. In fact, once individuals have undergone an initial assessment at an XLHealth assessment center, they are typically referred to a pharmacist for an in-person consultation. Program designers have facilitated this service by partnering with LaFrance, Inc., the parent company of Super D Drugs, and CVS/Pharmacy.

“We offer each participant the opportunity to spend 30 to 40 minutes with a pharmacist in a consultation room at one of the drug stores,” explains Hollis, noting that participating pharmacists have access to each patient’s medication information through XLHealth’s technology platform. “We initially do a medication review in our office and put some of that information in the system. The pharmacist can see that information, but then they collect some of their own information as well. They look at the medications and try to gauge participant compliance; they look at whether there are any side-effects that are occurring; and they look at omissions and commissions -- whether the patient is on the appropriate medications, based on standardized guidelines.”

In cases where the pharmacist finds an opportunity for improvement, an intervention letter is dispatched to the patient’s physician for further review. “We are trying to look at the guidelines and make sure patients are on the right medications, when indicated,” stresses Hollis. “Physician judgment comes in and we let the physician make the final decision, but we do think [the pharmacist consultations] are important because we know that about half of all patients who take medications on a chronic basis are not compliant with their medications within 30 days. There are a lot of different reasons for that, and we try to work with [the physicians and the patients] to improve compliance.”

Two weeks following an initial pharmacist-patient consultation, the pharmacist calls the patient at home to check on how things are going with the medication regimen. “We have an option built in whereby if the pharmacist determines that he or she needs a second visit with a patient, or one of the telephone nurse coaches determines that the patient needs another visit, we will schedule one.” Hollis notes that while follow-up “visits” with the pharmacist are often conducted by telephone, program staff encourage patients to do the initial consultations in person.

---
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Preparing for a new role

To gear up for the XLHealth program, participating pharmacists underwent 30 hours of training that dealt with cardiovascular health and diabetes, according to Jeff Gross, director of patient care for CVS Pharmacy. “In addition to that, we had on-site training around process and administrative tasks related to the consulting services. And there was also a series of conference calls that XLHealth conducted to help the pharmacists understand the special areas of focus, based on the data that XLHealth got from Medicare,” he explains.

The consultations are primarily designed to deal with issues around safety, compliance, understanding side-effects, and identifying any duplicate or missing therapies, Gross explains. “The consultations are really to help the patients understand what is typically a pretty large number of medications that they are having to manage between actual prescriptions and even some over-the-counter medications they might be taking.”

From CVS’s standpoint, the XLHealth program is an opportunity to demonstrate the kind of value a community pharmacist intervention can bring to DM. Gross maintains that the approach is an ideal fit for some populations.

“When you get patients with ten or more medications, you are getting to a level of complexity that extends beyond the training of an RN, and yet pharmacists are the experts in medications,” he says, noting that CVS is hopeful its pharmacists’ expertise will be evident in the clinical and financial outcomes generated by the XLHealth program. “Among all the Medicare Health Support programs, this is the only one that has a community pharmacist role … and we are certainly hopeful that if XLHealth produces the best health outcomes, lower costs, and essentially higher quality, that among the points of differentiation will be the fact that the [organization has] carved out a role for the community pharmacist.”

Editor’s note: For more information about XLHealth, visit the organization’s web address at www.xlhealth.com.

A word of caution when considering technology-based DM applications for senior populations

No one doubts the potential of information technology to boost health care quality and efficiency, but any expenditures along these lines will be wasted if patients and providers are not comfortable with the applications or the devices. In fact, such concerns are of paramount importance when dealing with senior populations because a significant percentage of older patients have little, if any, experience with computers or Internet-based applications.

“We found that for a majority of seniors, even the most simple functions of logging into a system and remembering a four-character password were initially very daunting,” observes Lorenzo Moreno, PhD, MSc, a senior health researcher at Princeton, NJ-based Mathematica Policy Research who is involved with evaluating a telemedicine intervention targeted toward diabetic Medicare beneficiaries living in medically underserved areas of New York. “Anything that relies on the Internet or web-based applications is probably going to be a challenge, particularly for people who have never used computers before or are just beginning to use computers.”

In light of the implementation barriers he experienced with the telemedicine demonstration, Moreno has prepared a brief that addresses the issues health care organizations should consider before implementing a technology-based DM intervention within a senior population. Initially, he recommends assessing the technological skills of the target population. “There are two potential ways to do that. One is to use a patient registry in which information about the level of education or the last place of employment can provide clues regarding what sorts of skills a population may have with regards to information technology,” he says. “Also, the manufacturers or developers of these technologies are doing this kind of usability testing, but it is not necessarily clear that this information is being made available to the people purchasing the technology.”

Moreno emphasizes that the needs and skills of the health care providers who would potentially be interacting with these applications must also be given strong consideration. “If the technology is not user-friendly to the patients, this is going to create a lot of frustration among the providers. It will require them to be answering technical questions that they might not necessarily be prepared to respond to. They could spend a substantial portion of the encounter addressing technical questions rather than delivering care,” stresses Moreno. “We think that the system design has to incorporate the provider perspective and user perspective – not only the technical designer perspective.”

Facility with computers and other new technologies will undoubtedly increase in the coming years, but for the time being, Moreno suggests that more traditional tools are a better fit with senior populations. For example, he notes that interactive voice response systems are well-accepted and understood by seniors. Likewise, simple monitoring tools that require users to answer questions about their health status by pressing two or three buttons also work well in older populations; typically, these devices send the information to a monitoring center via phone lines. A third option that works particularly well for delivering health education involves use of a simple DVD. Adds Moreno, “Seniors know how to use the appliances to run DVDs, and there is an explosion in these types of interactive applications that allow the user to get information about their condition.”
Low-cost approach reaches disadvantaged populations

Telephone-based intervention shows promise in boosting screening rates

There is no question that new technologies are helping disease managers become more productive and efficient in reaching out to greater numbers of patients, but such approaches often offer little value to low-income groups who have little or no experience with computers or Internet-based applications. Fortunately, however, there is new evidence that, when used appropriately, the telephone can be highly effective at reaching such populations and motivating them to take better care of their health.

In searching for better ways to increase cancer screening for low-income and minority women in New York City, researchers from Hanover, NH-based Dartmouth Medical School found that targeted phone calls delivered by trained personnel could significantly boost the number of women taking advantage of mammograms, colorectal screenings, and Pap tests. In fact, the results from the study are so impressive that researchers are now testing the approach in a broader population where existing infrastructure and personnel will be used to implement the telephone-based intervention. Further, investigators believe that the low-cost approach can eventually be expanded to include many other types of preventive services as well.

Appealing approach

Well-aware that disadvantaged populations are adversely impacted by the morbidity and mortality associated with cancer, and that patients in this population are often not diagnosed until cancer is well advanced, researchers wanted to identify a method that could successfully boost screening rates in low-income and minority groups. The study population consisted of women served by 11 community health centers for the underserved in New York City.

Investigators wanted to test the efficacy of a telephone-based intervention primarily because, in many delivery systems, the resources needed for telephone outreach are already in place -- typically to promote such services as well-child visits and childhood immunization.

“The idea of initiating a series of supportive phone calls from someone who was well-trained and well-aware of barriers had a lot of appeal,” explains Allen Dietrich, MD, the principal investigator on the study and a professor of community and family medicine at Dartmouth Medical School. “Looking ahead to the next stage, we figured if we could do this in a research study, there were established infrastructures to which we could translate this methodology so that it could be sustained outside of the research study.”

Prevention care managers

Armed with data from a previous study that identified the chief reasons why women fail to take advantage of cancer screening services, investigators recruited bilingual women -- mostly college graduates -- who they could train to serve as prevention care managers (PCMs). The PCMs would then reach out by telephone to women due for any of the three targeted cancer screenings, and then help them overcome barriers to receiving the needed screenings.

In the first session of the two-day training period, the trainees were briefed on the disparities that exist regarding cancer screening in low-income groups, and the recommendations for screening issued by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Further, they received detailed descriptions of what is involved with undergoing each of the targeted screening procedures so they would be well-equipped to answer any patient questions about the procedures. “We
really wanted them to understand that we were using this strategy to facilitate change, and that they were the change agents,” explains Andrea Cassells, MPH, a co-author of the research and director of clinical affairs for the Clinical Director’s Network, a non-profit group based in New York City that focuses on developing research and educational activities in community health centers.

**Hands-on training**

The second phase of the training involved more hands-on, interactive learning, emphasizes Cassells. “We developed a series of scripts to facilitate the calls,” she says, noting that the scripts were based on prior research looking into the various barriers women from the targeted population typically faced. “The [trainees] went through the different scripts and did some role-playing to make sure they had a comfort level with making the calls.”

Over the course of the intervention, the prevention care managers received weekly feedback on their performance in making the calls, and the scripts were continually tweaked to accommodate new situations that would arise. “As we went along, we discovered additional barriers, so we had to develop scripts for those as well,” adds Cassells.

All of the women targeted to receive calls had previously agreed to participate in the study and to have their chart reviewed so investigators could determine what, if any, cancer screening procedures they should undergo, according to guideline recommendations. The PCMs were then given this information so they could specifically address the procedures needed when they made outreach calls. (See Figure 1 on page 53.)

While carrying out these calls, one of the most common barriers cited by the targeted patients was the lack of a recommendation or referral from their PCP to undergo the screening procedure. “Many of these women had chronic conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, or asthma … so the prevention issues would invariably fall to the bottom of the plate,” notes Cassells. “A woman would come in with diabetes, and the provider would focus on making sure her diabetes was being well-managed, so even though she needed a mammogram or a referral for a colonoscopy, these weren’t addressed.”

---

**Figure 2: Flow of study participants through recruitment, eligibility assessment, randomization, intervention, and outcome analysis**

- **Women assessed for eligibility**
  - Women assessed for eligibility (n = 3312)
- **Women excluded (n = 1899 [57%])**
  - Language barrier: 96
  - Did not meet other inclusion criteria (age, acute illness, active cancer treatment, plan to move): 852
  - Up to date on all 3 screenings: 160
  - Unresolved abnormal screening: 5
  - Declined to participate: 786

- **Women included in study**
  - Women included in study (n = 1413)

- **Women assigned to receive PCM Intervention**
  - Women assigned to receive PCM Intervention (n = 706)
    - Received PCM as assigned: 639
    - Never successfully contacted for PCM: 67

- **Women assigned to receive usual care**
  - Women assigned to receive usual care (n = 707)

- **Women excluded from primary analysis because medical records were unavailable**
  - Women excluded from primary analysis because medical records were unavailable (n = 10)

- **Women completed the primary intervention**
  - Women completed the primary intervention (n = 696)
    - Completed intervention: 573
    - Never reached by phone: 63
    - Asked prevention care manager to stop calling: 3
    - Moved: 15
    - Left care of community health center: 39
    - Died: 3

- **Women included in primary analysis**
  - Women included in primary analysis (n = 694)

**PCM** prevention care management.

**Intervention strategies**

To address this issue, the prevention care managers sent out pink “activation cards” to the patients listing the specific screening procedures they needed. The information was listed in English on one side of the card and in Spanish on the other side to get around language barriers. The patients were then instructed to take these cards with them to their next office visit.

“This was to address the issue of helping the doctor see that the patient was in need of screening, but we also used this strategy because we found that a lot of women were faced with not being able to communicate with their providers,” notes Cassells. “Many of the Spanish-speaking women felt that even though there might be an interpreter present, they really weren’t communicating, so the cards helped them to overcome that gap.”

In addition, to overcome the lack of a provider recommendation or referral, the prevention care managers worked with the community health centers to send letters to the women -- signed by their providers -- recommending that they receive the needed screening procedure. Adds Cassells, “The women would see that their clinician wanted them to have this test, and that helped them to follow through.”

**Knowledge gap**

Another barrier that came up frequently during the outreach calls was a lack of knowledge or understanding about the screening tests being recommended. This was particularly true with the various tests used to screen for colon cancer, explains Cassells. Many of the women could simply not fathom the process of testing their stool, and others had never heard of colonoscopy. In these cases, the prevention care managers would thoroughly explain the testing procedure, mail educational materials to the women, and then follow up with a repeat phone call in two or three weeks. “Once we followed up with them, a lot of the women would then indicate that they now understood the test and felt that they could go ahead and proceed with the procedure,” says Cassells.

To deal with the issue of competing priori-

---

**Table 3: Proportion of Women Up to Date for Cancer Screening**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement Period</th>
<th>Intervention Group (n = 696)</th>
<th>Usual Care Group (n = 694)</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mammography</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline, %</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>–0.02 (–0.07 to 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, %</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.10 (0.05 to 0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline (CI), percentage points</td>
<td>0.10 (0.05 to 0.15)</td>
<td>–0.02 (–0.08 to 0.02)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.06 to 0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Papanicolaou test</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline, %</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.01 (–0.04 to 0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, %</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.08 (0.03 to 0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline (CI), percentage points</td>
<td>0.07 (0.03 to 0.11)</td>
<td>0.00 (–0.03 to 0.05)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.01 to 0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any colorectal screening</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline, %</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.00 (–0.05 to 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, %</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.13 (0.08 to 0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline (CI), percentage points</td>
<td>0.24 (0.20 to 0.29)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.08 to 0.16)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.07 to 0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Up to date for 1 or more screening</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline, %</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.00 (–0.03 to 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, %</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01 to 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline (CI), percentage points</td>
<td>0.05 (0.02 to 0.08)</td>
<td>0.01 (–0.02 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.00 to 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Up to date for 2 or more screenings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline, %</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.00 (–0.06 to 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, %</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.13 (0.08 to 0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline (CI), percentage points</td>
<td>0.14 (0.10 to 0.18)</td>
<td>0.01 (–0.04 to 0.05)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.00 to 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Up to date for 3 screenings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline, %</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>–0.01 (–0.06 to 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, %</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.13 (0.07 to 0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline (CI), percentage points</td>
<td>0.22 (0.18 to 0.27)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.04 to 0.12)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.08 to 0.20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This analysis is based on unadjusted rates.
† All values in this column are percentage points.

ties, the prevention care managers typically used motivational interviewing techniques and continuous encouragement to convince the women that they needed to take care of themselves in order to take care of their families or whatever other goals they were focused on accomplishing.

Additionally, when access barriers were apparent, the prevention care managers would go ahead and make appointments for the women. They then coordinated with the participating health centers to send the fecal occult blood test cards directly to the women so that they did not have to schedule an extra appointment to receive the cards. In some cases they were able to arrange for the centers to establish open-access hours when patients could simply show up and receive the screening procedures without a prior appointment.

**Promising results**

Investigators compared the group of women targeted for intervention by the PCM with a matched group of women with similar characteristics.1 (See Figure 2 on page 54.) While women in the intervention group received, on average, four telephone calls from a PCM, women in the control group received a brochure about the screening procedures and a single phone call, informing them what screening tests they were overdue for and recommending that they discuss the issue with their physician.

Following the 18-month study period, data analyses show that the PCM intervention had a significant impact on screening rates for all three tests. (See Figure 3 on page 55.) When compared to the control group, researchers found that screening rates increased by 12% for mammography, 7% for Pap tests, and 13% for colorectal screenings in the intervention group.

While the PCM intervention was clearly effective in the context of this study, investigators are eager to test the approach in more of a real-world setting where participants do not have to agree in advance to receive the calls, and where women due for screening procedures can be identified through administrative data rather than time-consuming chart reviews.

Fortunately, they will not have to wait long; the National Cancer Institute has already approved funding to test the approach on a larger scale. “We will be working with three to four Medicaid managed care organizations in New York, NY to train their outreach staff to conduct this intervention,” notes Cassells. “The Medicaid managed care arena is already set up to make outreach calls, so we plan to build on that. The infrastructure is already there.”

**Reference**


---

**A call to action on the world’s ‘fastest growing disease entity’**

**An arsenal of strategies available to do battle with metabolic syndrome**

The dangers associated with the epidemic of obesity in this country are well-understood; practitioners know that excess weight heightens the risk of heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, and many other diseases. However, this knowledge has thus far failed to make a meaningful impact on care. To the contrary, the incidence of overweight and obesity is growing by one percentage point each year in this country, and already two-thirds of the population is overweight or obese.

Why are health care providers throwing up their hands in the face of such a gathering storm? Perhaps the reason is because any sensible solution to the problem requires lifestyle modification, and many practitioners are skeptical about their ability to help patients make difficult changes. However, there is plenty of evidence to suggest that physicians are, in fact, ideally suited to be the messenger in this instance because patients tend to give their instructions a good deal of authority. Furthermore, physicians are well-positioned to implement the kind of incremental changes that can ultimately lead to significant risk reduction.

**Establish realistic goals**

Nowhere is America’s weight problem more obvious than in the alarming incidence of metabolic syndrome -- the constellation of risk factors characterized by a large waist circumference, elevated BP, high plasma levels of triglycerides, low plasma levels of HDL cholesterol, and impaired glucose tolerance. “It’s the fastest growing disease entity in the world, and it is something that needs to be recognized and dealt with by physicians and other health care professionals,” according to John Foreyt, PhD, the director of the behavioral medicine research center at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston,
“We never saw this when we were kids. It is a new disease, and it is the result primarily of a lot of us getting so fat, so the obesity is driving the insulin resistance which is driving the metabolic syndrome.”

To help physicians effectively address the problem, Foreyt has developed a “toolbox” of lifestyle intervention strategies that busy physicians can incorporate into their practice. He emphasizes that the first and most important strategy is to establish realistic goals that a patient has a good chance of being able to achieve. For example, he points out that while many patients aim to lose 50 lbs or 100 lbs very quickly, they are likely to fall short, resulting in feelings of failure. Foreyt suggests beginning with a more modest goal of 8% weight loss -- a smaller achievement, but one that can boost self-efficacy and set patients up for subsequent achievements.

**Make patients aware**

Physicians should also think about ways to raise patient awareness of metabolic syndrome and how various lifestyle choices impact the course of the disease. This can be accomplished by instructing patients to weigh themselves every day, use a food diary to record what they eat, and by having patients keep a log that keeps track of their physical activity.

Such approaches go hand-in-hand with goal setting, notes Foreyt, because patients can strive toward a goal of exercising 30 minutes a day or, using a pedometer, they can build up to 10,000 steps a day. “That is five miles or 500 calories, which results in a one-pound weight loss in a week,” he says. “The idea is that we all burn about 5 calories a minute as soon as we get up and start walking.”

A third essential task in making progress against metabolic syndrome is to confront barriers that prevent patients from making needed lifestyle changes. This is a matter of addressing any issues from a problem-solving point of view and helping patients come up with ways to overcome these obstacles, notes Foreyt. For example, if a patient is not making the necessary changes in his diet, the practitioner should work with the patient to find out what specific issues are in the way, and then develop some practical solutions. Patients who must eat at restaurants frequently, for instance, may want to call ahead to make sure healthy food choices will be available. In other cases, it might make sense for patients to bring specific types of meals to work every day, or to stock up on some sensible evening snacks if nighttime snacking is a problem.

Finding the time to exercise is another common barrier that physicians can often help patients resolve with simple, practical solutions. Furthermore, problem-solving almost always comes into play in the event of relapses. Physicians can help patients understand that relapses need not sabotage their efforts, and they can help them devise individualized coping strategies.

**Address stress management**

Given that stress often interferes with attempts at lifestyle change, Foreyt emphasizes that physicians should consider having a discussion with patients about what triggers stress in their lives, and how they can mitigate the problem. “There are many techniques, and it would be easy for the physician to teach a patient stress management strategies,” emphasizes Foreyt, noting that one ideal approach is physical activity.

It may also be beneficial to engage in what Foreyt refers to as cognitive restructuring, a method of helping people to think more positively and realistically about themselves. This can be accomplished by having patients develop self-enhancing thoughts and beliefs that support or encourage their efforts to exercise and make dietary changes. For example, if a patient resolves to walk 30 minutes after dinner every day, and he repeats that affirmation to himself repeatedly, it can be a strong impetus for continuing the activity.

Getting a patient to think positively is important, but that patient’s chances for success in any lifestyle change effort will be enhanced if he has a support system to provide ongoing encouragement. Consequently, physicians should discuss this issue with patients, and encourage them to think about ways to develop such a system at home, in the office, and/or by joining a support group. Colleagues or family members who share the same concerns can be especially beneficial in sustaining efforts toward lifestyle change.

**Put it in writing**

Taking into account that you have worked with the patient to establish realistic goals that are achievable, take the next step by having the patient confirm that he or she agrees to make specific changes in behavior before the next visit. This can be a verbal commitment, but Foreyt advises putting the agreement in writing in the form of a simple contract.

“The doctor has a piece of paper where the patient signs it and the doctor signs it,” he explains. The agreement may state that the
patient agrees to walk for 20 minutes three days per week, to add a vegetable to the evening meal, or to cut desserts from three times a week to twice a week.

**A tailored approach**

Studies suggest that weight loss medications have, at most, a modest impact, but they are beneficial in some cases, and physicians should consider pharmacotherapy as one more option at their disposal in working with patients who need to lose weight.

“What you are really looking for is a tai-

lored approach to help raise awareness, confront barriers, and overcome any specific problems, using a variety of approaches,” explains Foreyt. “The idea is that one approach will not fit all patients ... so you need to tailor the program to the patient as far as eating healthy, exercising, and managing weight. That is the bottom line, and that is how you treat metabolic syndrome.”

**Reference**
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**RAND study citing ‘mediocre’ care fuels debate**

**Momentum builds for linkage between DM, primary care**

Despite the vigorous debate over pay-for-performance and other incentive methods aimed at driving quality, there is mounting evidence that the vast majority of people in this country are receiving, at best, only mediocre care. A recent study conducted by the RAND Corporation, for example, pointed out that most people -- regardless of race, income, or insurance status -- experience significant deficits in recommended care. The problem is most troubling in chronically ill populations, where following care guidelines is critical to averting exacerbations of existing conditions. There are numerous contributing factors behind this sad state of affairs, but a growing chorus of experts is suggesting that any viable solution must begin with a total redesign of the way primary care is delivered in this country.

Critics point out that internists and family practitioners are increasingly preoccupied with acute problems, leaving little time for the kind of health promotion, education, and preventive steps that could ward off the development of chronic disease down the road. Further, they argue that most PCPs lack the training and/or support to optimally manage the increasing numbers of people already impacted by chronic disease, even though a majority of these individuals receive the bulk of their care in a primary care setting.

Addressing such fundamental problems will not be easy, but groups are beginning to come forward with ideas on how to refashion primary care so that it is capable of delivering a much more comprehensive suite of services directly linked to patient needs. Among other things, some are arguing that rather than or in addition to farming out DM to third-party vendors, it is part and parcel of what needs to take place in primary care.

**A ‘leaky boat’**

The RAND study looked at preventive services and care for 30 acute and chronic conditions that are considered to be the leading causes of death and disability. And, perhaps astoundingly, the researchers found that participants only received about 55% of the recommended care services. Further, these significant gaps existed across all socio-demographic groups.

“What our study showed was that we are all in the same leaky boat, basically. Everybody, whether they are rich or poor, black or white, insured or uninsured, is getting the same mediocre care,” stresses Steven Asch, MD, the lead author of the study from RAND, and on staff at the Veterans Affairs of Greater Los Angeles Health Care System. “We looked at basic, bread and butter, routine services for people … and what we found was that the kinds of things that help you get in the door like insurance and being well-off don’t seem to help very much once you get in the door.”

---
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**A system ‘designed to fail’**

One of the primary barriers to quality care that the researchers identified is the fragmentation of the health care system. “It is so disjointed that it is very difficult for even well-meaning patients and physicians to get the care they need,” notes Asch, pointing out that in most settings no one person is charge of a patient’s care. “A patient might get his heart care from one physician and his arthritis care from someone else, and there is no easy way for those doctors to communicate with each other on a real-time basis.”

Further, while adoption of the electronic medical record (EMR) and other modes of information technology are essential to improving efficiency and timely care delivery, Asch emphasizes that technology is not the total answer. He suggests there also needs to be a mechanism for measuring quality, and for holding people accountable for outcomes. Adds Asch, “The current system is essentially designed to fail in its current incarnation. Neither patients nor physicians are getting the help they need.”

**Higher demands, greater rewards**

Recognizing that such problems are only going to intensify as the population ages and chronic disease becomes even more prevalent, the American College of Physicians (ACP) is calling for a revolution in the concept of primary care.

“Managing older patients with chronic disease requires involvement over time, and the office visit is only a piece of the activity,” explains William Golden, MD, FACP, chair of ACP’s Board of Regents, and an internist and geriatrician based in Little Rock, AR. “With the advent of EMRs and e-mail, and with the need for frequent blood monitoring and improved compliance from patients with increasingly complex drug regimens, we think that physicians should be expected to, and rewarded for, more comprehensive care of their patients – a task that extends to activities in between office visits.”

The ACP is looking at different models of care delivery, but it has already endorsed the concept of the advanced medical home, a model of care that utilizes a team approach to deliver ongoing care and support. Under this concept, practices are organized around the needs of patients. “This could be in some ways almost like a DM-type model where the physician’s office can monitor and encourage compliance with a complex regimen, and also help the patient obtain necessary services through either social agencies or sub-specialist referrals,” notes Golden.

Golden stresses that physicians need to think more in terms of population-based care where, instead of looking at just one diabetic patient, for example, physicians monitor how well they are taking care of all 50 of their diabetic patients. “It’s really a kind of comprehensive re-look at what we expect out of a practice, and an attempt to make sure that physicians have the tools to do that kind of job.”

The ACP acknowledges that achieving real change in the way primary care is delivered will also require fundamental alterations in the way internists are trained and reimbursed. And the organization has just unveiled policy papers that address each of these concerns. Additionally, to move the group’s ideas forward, ACP has created a Center for Practice Innovation.

“We will be looking for model practices to try out these concepts and report on their experiments so that we can share the data with members,” says Golden. “We would like to see efficient, evidence-based practices that are patient-centered. And that is easy to say, but to execute is always a bit of a trick.”

**Help needed from policy makers**

A number of health experts believe the ideal place to implement the chronic care model is in primary care, according to Julie Schmitttdiel, PhD, an investigator with Kaiser Permanente’s Northern California Division of Research, who has studied the relationship between the chronic care model and certain types of primary care delivery. However, she points out that a majority of practices lack the tools and/or resources to take on this larger role.

“If you look at what is out there in terms of health promotion and health education, the overall levels are not very impressive, so a lot of those basic things that you need to implement this kind of care are not there right now.”

Schmitttdiel envisions the ideal primary care practice as one that is the nexus for all of a patient’s care needs. “This type of practice really tries to be the place where all other care springs from,” she explains. “This is the place where you learn about health education classes, and this is where you get your HbA1c monitored. And as soon as you are diagnosed with a chronic disease, you are not sent to a specialist or care manager unless that care manager is really integrated into the system.”

To nurture this kind of care, Schmitttdiel emphasizes that primary care practices are going to have to get away from their acute care focus, but she agrees that they will have to have help from policy makers. “If we really believe that primary care is the nexus [for all other care activi-
ties], then we have to start thinking that way and setting up our system of incentives accordingly.”

**Editor’s note:** For more information on the American College of Physicians’ efforts to revamp primary care, visit the organization’s web site at www.acponline.org.

**Reference**
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**Special report offers detailed guidance on predictive modeling**

National Health Information has released a timely special report providing detailed guidance on the use of predictive modeling in disease management and other health-related applications.

*Predictive Modeling in Disease Management: Trends, Tools, and Strategies* contains 150 pages of the latest information on predictive modeling systems, applications, results, and technology issues. Its 44 articles cover dozens of vendors, product comparisons, and real-world applications of this dynamic and increasingly mandatory technology solution.

The report is divided into three sections:

- **Trends and Technology**, featuring new modeling techniques designed to enhance accuracy; how predicting modeling is being used to enhance ROI; comparisons of modeling tools and technologies;
- **Product Profiles**, highlighting a dozen different predictive modeling programs; and
- **Case Studies**, detailing some of the most successful applications of predictive modeling technology in the real world of disease management and health improvement.

This must-have resource is available for $139 plus $5.95 shipping and handling. To order, go to www.nhionline.net, send your order to NHI, PO Box 15429, Atlanta, GA 30333-0429, or call 800-597-6300. All orders must be prepaid and are protected by NHI’s 100% money back guarantee.
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